arXiv:astro-ph/0012278v1 12 Dec 2000

Canonical elements for collision orbits

Scott Tremaine
Princeton University Observatory, Peyton Hall,
Princeton, NJ 08544-1001, USA

ABSTRACT

I derive a set of canonical elements that are useful for collision orbits (perihelion distance approaching zero at fixed semimajor axis). The coordinates are the mean anomaly and the two spherical polar angles at aphelion.

The purpose of this short note is to derive a set of canonical orbital elements that are well-behaved for highly eccentric Kepler orbits. More precisely, I wish to find a set of elements that remain well-defined for collision orbits (eccentricity $e \to 1$ at fixed semimajor axis a). Such elements may be particularly useful for describing the orbital evolution of long-period comets.

The Delaunay elements are defined as

$$L = (M_\star a)^{1/2}, \quad G = L(1-e^2)^{1/2}, \quad H = G\cos i, \quad \ell, \quad g, \quad h; \tag{1}$$

here $M_\star$ is the mass of the star in units where the gravitational constant is unity; a, e, and i are the semimajor axis, eccentricity, and inclination; and ℓ, g and h are the mean anomaly, argument of perihelion, and longitude of the ascending node. The vector $\mathbf{G}$ is the specific angular momentum, $G = |\mathbf{G}|$ is its magnitude, and $H = \mathbf{G}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is its z-component. In these variables the Kepler Hamiltonian is

$$H_K(L) = -\frac{M_\star^2}{2L^2}. \tag{2}$$

Any set of six scalar orbital elements is indeterminate for some orbits (e.g. Stiefel & Scheifele 1971). For example, Delaunay elements are unsuitable for circular orbits (ℓ and g indeterminate), equatorial orbits (g and h indeterminate), and collision orbits (i, g and h indeterminate). Poincaré elements are suitable for circular orbits; eccentric and oblique elements are suitable for circular and for equatorial orbits; but all of these element sets are indeterminate for collision orbits (Murray & Dermott 1999). Herrick (1971) gives an extensive discussion of universal variables, which are well-defined for all Keplerian orbits including collision orbits, but Herrick's variables are not canonical.

The orientation of the line of apsides of an orbit can be specified by the spherical polar coordinates (θ_a, ϕ_a) of the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ pointing from the origin to aphelion, which are given in terms of the elements i, g and h by

$$\cos\theta_a = -\sin g \sin i, \quad \sin\theta_a \sin(\phi_a - h) = -\sin g \cos i, \quad \sin\theta_a \cos(\phi_a - h) = -\cos g. \quad (3)$$

The angles (θ_a, ϕ_a) remain well-defined for collision orbits and thus are attractive candidates for canonical coordinates. I now demonstrate that the following is a set of canonical elements:

$$L, \quad \Theta, \quad H, \quad \ell, \quad \theta_a, \quad \phi_a, \quad (4)$$

where Θ is the component of the angular momentum along a line perpendicular to the z-axis and the line of apsides. More specifically, if $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ is the unit vector pointing towards aphelion, and we define a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{t}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{a}} / \sin\theta_a = (-\sin\phi_a, \cos\phi_a, 0)$, then $\Theta = \mathbf{G} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}}$, thus specifying the sign of Θ. The elements θ_a and ϕ_a are indeterminate for circular orbits, and Θ is indeterminate if the line of apsides coincides with the z-axis.

Consider a canonical transformation from the Delaunay elements (1) to new momenta and coordinates $(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{w})$, defined by the mixed-variable generating function

$$\begin{aligned} S(L, G, H, \mathbf{w}) &= w_1 L + (w_3 - \tfrac{1}{2}\pi) H - \tfrac{1}{2}\pi G \\ &\quad \pm G \cos^{-1} \frac{G \cos w_2}{(G^2 - H^2)^{1/2}} \mp H \cos^{-1} \frac{H \cot w_2}{(G^2 - H^2)^{1/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $0 \le w_2 \le \pi$ and $0 \le w_3 < 2\pi$. The arguments of the inverse trigonometric functions have absolute value less than unity if

$$\sin w_2 > |\cos i|, \quad (6)$$

that is, w_2 lies between $\frac{1}{2}\pi - i$ and $\frac{1}{2}\pi + i$. Then

$$I_1 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial w_1} = L, \qquad I_2 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial w_2} = \pm \left(G^2 - \frac{H^2}{\sin^2 w_2} \right)^{1/2}, \qquad I_3 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial w_3} = H, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= \frac{\partial S}{\partial L} = w_1, \qquad g = \frac{\partial S}{\partial G} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\pi \pm \cos^{-1} \frac{G \cos w_2}{(G^2 - H^2)^{1/2}}, \\ h &= \frac{\partial S}{\partial H} = w_3 - \tfrac{1}{2}\pi \mp \cos^{-1} \frac{H \cot w_2}{(G^2 - H^2)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus $-\pi \le g + \frac{1}{2}\pi \le \pi$, and the upper sign applies when $g + \frac{1}{2}\pi \ge 0$ and the lower when $g + \frac{1}{2}\pi < 0$.

The equations for g and h imply that

$$\cos w_2 = -\sin g \sin i, \qquad \sin(w_3 - h) = \cot i \cot w_2 = -\frac{\sin g \cos i}{\sin w_2},$$
$$\cos(w_3 - h) = \mp(1 - \cot^2 i \cot^2 w_2)^{1/2} = -\frac{\cos g}{\sin w_2}. \tag{9}$$

Comparison with equations (3) then shows that $w_2 = \theta_a$ and $w_3 = \phi_a$. It is now straightforward to show that $I_2 = \Theta$.

REFERENCES

Herrick, S. 1971, Astrodynamics, Vol. 1 (New York: Van Nostrand)

Murray, C. D., & Dermott, S. F. 1999, Solar System Dynamics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

Stiefel, E. L., & Scheifele, G. 1971, Linear and Regular Celestial Mechanics (Berlin: Springer)

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v4.0.